

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/230,048

Applicant(s)

FLECKENSTEIN ET AL.

Examiner

Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.

Art Unit

1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sumesh Kaushal.

(3) _____

(2) Marvin A. Motsenbocker.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 36,42,52 and 53.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 36,42,52 and 53 were discussed regarding Written Description issues. The applicant agreed to cancel claims 36,42,52 and 53 by examiner's amendment to put the application in a condition for an allowance. Upon cancellation of these claims, the pending claims 37-41 and 43-49 would be allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required